

No. ID/RTK/29-83/30910.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Som Nath and the management of Haryana Roadways, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government Notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Som Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/119-82/30917.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Jagdish Chander and the management of (i) State Transport Controller, Haryana, Chandigarh, (ii) Haryana Roadways, Sirsia regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagdish Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/74-83/30944.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Bhupinder Singh and the management of M/s Executive Engineer, Sub-Urban (Operation) Division Haryana State Electricity Board Jagadhi Near Waryam Singh Hospital, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhupinder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/33-83/30950.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Dharam Paul Singh and the management of M/s Executive Engineer, Sub-Urban (Operation) Division Haryana State Electricity Board Jagadhi Near Waryam Singh Hospital, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Paul Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?